

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,617	MCCOY, MARK SCOTT	
	Examiner Dionne A. Walls	Art Unit 1731	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Dionne A. Walls. (3) _____.
 (2) Andrew Gathy. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2003 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner asked to cancel claims 1-7, as being drawn to a Non-Elected Invention, in order to place the Application in condition for Allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. Such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)